



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,469	03/31/2004	William Hatcher	07-328-JB	6384

31718 7590 04/23/2009  
BELASCO, JACOBS & TOWNSLEY LLP  
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER  
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST  
14th Floor  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

EXAMINER
----------

UTAMA, ROBERT J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3715

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

04/23/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patmail@bjtlaw.com  
donna@bjtlaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/815,469	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HATCHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ROBERT J. UTAMA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3715	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Utama, Robert. (3) Townsley, Allen.

(2) Mosser, Kathleen. (4) Belasco, David.

Date of Interview: 03/17/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eckenwiller, Sack-Saver and Yamamoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argues that the Eckenwiller reference is directed more of the packing of manufacture material and not the packing of groceries item. The examiner argues that the current claim limitation do not limit the teaching of packing to only to be groceries item. No agreement with respect to the patentability of the claim limitation has been reached during the interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kathleen Mosser/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715	
--	--